



PARTICIPANT STATISTICS

THIRDD QUADRIMESTER 2011

RUBELLA

Rubella IgG Method	Specimen 1		Specimen 2		Specimen 3		Specimen 4		Specimen 5		No. of Labs
	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos	
Abbott AxSYM IgG only		8		8		8		8		8	8
ASI Rubella Test		29	1	28	1	28	1	28	28	1	29
Beckman Access IgG		12		12		12	1	11		12	12
Biokit Rbgen/Fisher SureVue		19		19		19		19		19	19
Biokit/SV Select Rubella		4		4		4		4		4	4
bioMerieux Vidas IgG ELFA		7		7		7		7		7	7
BioRad Rubella IgG EIA		2		2		2		2		2	2
Diamedix Microassay IgG		6		6		6		6		6	6
DiaSorin Rubella IgG ELISA		2		2		2		2		2	2
Inverness Rubella-Plus	1	12		13		13	1	12		13	13
J&J Vitros		8		8		8		8		8	8
Remel Ruba-Test		3		3		3		3		3	3
Roche Cobas/Elecsys Rub IgG		4		4		4		4		4	4
Siemens Immulite 2000, 2500		12		12		12		12		12	12
Siemens Immulite IgG		5		5		5		5		5	5
Siemens Immuno 1/Advia IgG		6	1	5		6		6		6	6
True Medix TruTest Rubella		2		2		2		2		2	2
Wampole Impact		3		3		3		3		3	3
Zeus ELISA		2		2		2		2		2	2
Total Population	1	155	2	154	1	155	3	153	155	1	156
Flagging	*****		*****		*****		*****			*****	

Correct responses are defined as those reflecting agreement among 80% or more of all participants or referees. Unacceptable responses are indicated by "*****" on the Flagging line of each specimen.